# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

KRISTINE KITTLESON, an individual, and Amber Schaeffer, an individual; Rory Clark, and individual

CASE NO.: 3:24-cv-05011-TMC

Plaintiffs,

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES \$5,000,000

PEACEHEALTH, a non-profit corporation,

v.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant.

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. Plaintiffs have suffered discrimination based on disability and their religious beliefs at the Defendant's hands through Defendant's implementation and enforcement of its COVID-19 vaccine mandate policy ("Policy"), which mandated a COVID-19 vaccine as a condition of employment. While the Policy on its face allowed individuals to claim religious and medical exemptions and receive accommodations, PeaceHealth denied these requests. Moreover, the Policy required Plaintiffs to receive an unapproved drug that was in clinical investigation stages in the COVID-19 vaccines and was merely approved as an emergency countermeasure under the Emergency Use Authorization legislation ("EUA").

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE DECLARATORY RELIEF

2. Through the Policy, Defendants offered a sliver of hope that Plaintiffs would be accommodated, but in the end, each Plaintiff's request was flatly rejected. This resulted in the placement of Plaintiffs on indefinite leave without pay, with the Plaintiffs arising every day in the hope that they could return to work and retiring at night having had no opportunity to do so.

#### II. **PARTIES**

#### A. DEFENDANT

- 3. Defendant, **PEACEHEALTH**, is a not-for-profit healthcare system headquartered in Clark County, WA, recognized as tax-exempt pursuant to Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 4. PeaceHealth touts a mission of "carry[ing] on the healing mission of Jesus Christ by promoting personal and community health, relieving pain and suffering, and treating each person in a loving and caring way." Notably, "[a]t PeaceHealth, the fulfillment of our Mission is our shared purpose."<sup>2</sup>

#### B. PLAINTIFFS

- 5. Plaintiff, KRISTINE KITTLESON, worked as a Radiation Therapist for PeaceHealth St. Joseph Medical Center in 2015, until she was terminated on October 22, 2022.
- 6. She was a good employee and consistently met the expectations of her position and her employer.
- 7. Plaintiff Kittleson filed an EEOC complaint, EEOC No. 551-2023-01270, on March 9, 2023. She received her right to sue letter on December 4, 2022.

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PeaceHealth at a Glance. Available at: <a href="https://www.peacehealth.org/sites/default/files/2022-">https://www.peacehealth.org/sites/default/files/2022-</a> 07/System PeaceHealth-at-a-Glance-2022-FINAL.pdf. Last accessed: December 7, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

28

- 8. Plaintiff, **AMBER SCHAEFFER**, worked as a painter for PeaceHealth beginning November 3, 2020, until she was terminated in December of 2022, without notice or warning.
- 9. She had an unblemished employment record, was highly motivated, detailoriented and dedicated, and voluntarily took on overtime work when needed.
- 10. Plaintiff Schaeffer filed an EEOC complaint on January 28, 2023. She was previously part of a *Zimmerman v. PeaceHealth*, Case No. 3:22-cv-05960-TL, filed in the Western District of Washington. She was dismissed from that case because she did not have a Title VII discrimination claim. She joins this case on ADA discrimination grounds.
- 11. Ms. Schaeffer filed an EEOC complaint based on religious discrimination and received her Right to Sue.
- 12. Plaintiff, **RORY CLARK**, worked as a security officer at St. John Medical Center from October 17, 2016, until present.
- 13. Plaintiff Clark has an unblemished employment record and performed above expectations. He has been nominated for awards for job performance and received certificates of appreciation for going above and beyond the call of duty.
- 14. Prior to being put on indefinite leave, Plaintiff Clark, missed only 2-3 days of work due to illness, even returning same day after having to have stitches in his finger.

### III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 15. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington as Defendant PeaceHealth's principal place of business is 1115 SE 164th Avenue Vancouver, in Clark County, Washington.
- 16. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1343, and it has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1376.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 2

17.	This Court is authorized to grant declaratory judgment under the Declaratory
Judgment Act	28 U.S.C. §§2201-02, implemented through Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure.	

- 18. This Court is authorized to grant Plaintiffs' prayer for a temporary restraining order and preliminary and permanent injunctive relief pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 19. This Court is authorized to grant Plaintiffs' prayer for relief regarding damages pursuant to Rule 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the supplementary laws of the State of Washington, as applicable under Fed. R. Civ. P. 69.
- 20. This Court is authorized to grant Plaintiffs' prayer for relief regarding damages, including treble damages under the Washington Law Against Discrimination ("WLAD"), Revised Code of Washington ("RCW") 49.60.
- 21. This Court is authorized to grant Plaintiffs' prayer for relief regarding costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to the Washington Law Against Discrimination

#### IV. <u>FACTS</u>

#### Background information

22. Because of the emergence of the novel corona virus, SARS CoV2 ("COVID-19"), three separate vaccines were originally developed to purportedly prevent COVID-19.

# PeaceHealth adopted its COVID vaccination mandate on the idea that the vaccine would stop transmission of the virus.

- 23. The purported reason for a vaccine mandate is to stop the disease from spreading hrough the population.
  - PeaceHealth knew or should have known that the vaccine would not stop

28

27

28

infection and transmission before it rolled out the vaccine mandate, as the CDC statements on the vaccine's ineffectiveness were publicly available.<sup>3</sup>

- 25. The Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") issued an Emergency Use Authorization ("EUA") for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine ("Pfizer Vaccine") for individuals 16 years of age and older, on December 11, 2020.<sup>4</sup>
- 26. On August 23, 2021, less than a year later, the FDA gave the Pfizer vaccine under the name Comirnaty full approval, though none of the approved product came to market in 2021.<sup>5</sup> Moderna was granted an EUA for its COVID-19 Vaccine ("Moderna Vaccine") on December 17, 2020,<sup>6</sup> and full approval under the name Spikevax on January 31, 2022.<sup>7</sup>
- 27. On February 27, 2021, the single shot Johnson and Johnson COVID-19 Vaccine ("Johnson Vaccine") was granted an EUA.<sup>8</sup>
  - 28. On October 3, 2023, Novavax was granted an EUA.<sup>9</sup>
- 29. When these EUAs and approvals were issued, there was a lack of studies and insufficient data about whether the vaccines stopped infection or transmission, as the following statements by the FDA in meetings to grant EUA and/or approval status demonstrate:
  - a. "The lack of data on how the vaccine impacts asymptomatic infection and viral shedding was also pointed out and that this should be addressed prior to

<sup>4</sup> FDA Takes key action in fight against COVID-19 By Issuing Emergency Use Authorization for First COVID-19 Vaccine, FDA, <a href="https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-takes-key-action-fight-against-covid-19-issuing-emergency-use-authorization-first-covid-19">https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-takes-key-action-fight-against-covid-19-issuing-emergency-use-authorization-first-covid-19</a> (last visited October 20, 2022.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See: ¶¶ 53 and 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccines, FDA, <a href="https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccines">https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccines</a> (last visited October 20, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine Frequently Asked Questions, FDA, <a href="https://cacmap.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/moderna-covid-19-vaccine-frequently-asked-questions">https://cacmap.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/moderna-covid-19-vaccine-frequently-asked-questions</a>. (last visited October 20, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Moderna COVID-19 Vaccines*, FDA, <a href="https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/moderna-covid-19-vaccines">https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/moderna-covid-19-vaccines</a> (last visited October 20, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> FDA Issues Emergency Use Authorization for Third COVID-19 Vaccine, <a href="https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-issues-emergency-use-authorization-third-covid-19-vaccine">https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-issues-emergency-use-authorization-third-covid-19-vaccine</a> (last visited October 20, 2022).

study unblinding."<sup>10</sup> However, Pfizer unblinded the group anyway. <sup>11</sup>

- b. "Effect on asymptomatic infection and infectiousness Other designs
- On July 30, 2021, a report was posted on the Center for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") website discussing how the Delta infection resulted in similarly high SARS-CoV-2 viral loads in vaccinated and unvaccinated people.
- High viral loads suggest an increased risk of transmission and raised concern that vaccinated people can transmit the virus.
- The finding that vaccinated people carry an equally high viral load to the unvaccinated was a pivotal discovery leading to CDC's updated mask recommendation. The masking recommendation was updated to ensure the vaccinated public would not unknowingly transmit virus to others, including their unvaccinated or immunocompromised loved ones. 13
- On August 6, 2021 the director of the CDC, Rochelle Walensky stated to Wolf Blitzer that, "Our vaccines are working exceptionally well. . . . They continue to work well for Delta, with regard to severe illness and death – they prevent it. But what they can't do anymore
  - Additionally, PeaceHealth had a COVID-19 outbreak originating from patients

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 5

28

<sup>10 162</sup>nd VACCINES AND RELATED BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE SUMMARY MINUTES, https://www.fda.gov/advisory-committees/advisory-committee-calendar/vaccines-and-relatedbiological-products-advisory-committee-december-10-2020-meeting-announcement, https://www.fda.gov/media/144958/download, December 10, 2020, accessed October 18, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Steven Goodman, MD, MHS, PhD, Considerations for placebo-controlled trial design if an unlicensed vaccine becomes available, p. 20, VRBAC, https://www.fda.gov/advisory-committees/advisory-committeecalendar/vaccines-and-related-biological-products-advisory-committee-october-22-2020-meetingannouncement#event-materials, https://www.fda.gov/media/144582/download, December 17, 2020, accessed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Brown CM, Vostok J, Johnson H, et al. Outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 Infections, Including COVID-19 Vaccine Breakthrough Infections, Associated with Large Public Gatherings — Barnstable County, Massachusetts, July 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:1059-1062. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7031e2, accessed October 18, 2022.

including "fully" vaccinated individuals.  $^{14}$ 

- 35. Based on these and other statements by officials in the Federal Government, evidence from drug companies, other studies, and its own experience that the vaccines were not effective in stopping the transmission of COVID-19, PeaceHealth knew or should have known that the vaccine could not stop transmission.
- 36. PeaceHealth knew or should have known that despite vaccination status, the use of Personal Protective Equipment ("PPE") and other countermeasures would be required for all staff (vaccinated and unvaccinated alike) to prevent infecting patients.
- 37. PeaceHealth demonstrated this conclusion by requiring the use of additional PPE, even for vaccinated staff. These additional PPE requirements for vaccinated staff demonstrate that PeaceHealth knew of or questioned the vaccine's ability to prevent the transmission of COVID-19 to and from the vaccinated.<sup>15</sup>
- 38. On information and belief, despite knowing the vaccine did not stop infection and transmission, PeaceHealth required infected but vaccinated workers to return to work because of the staffing shortages caused by the vaccine mandate, in contradiction to the mandate that was intended to protect patients.
- 39. On information and belief, because PeaceHealth did not require regular testing or proof of testing for COVID-19 for all PeaceHealth employees, it allowed asymptomatic individuals who had COVID-19 to work without additional limitations..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Wochnick, Meg, *PeaceHealth COVID outbreak traced to patient; 3 of 4 infected workers unvaccinated*, published in the Columbian, July 19,2021, 12:50pm. <a href="https://www.columbian.com/news/2021/jul/19/peacehealth-covid-outbreak-traced-to-patient-3-of-4-infected-workers-unvaccinated/">https://www.columbian.com/news/2021/jul/19/peacehealth-covid-outbreak-traced-to-patient-3-of-4-infected-workers-unvaccinated/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> PeaceHeatlth's COVID-19 Vaccine Information, available at: <a href="https://www.peacehealth.org/about-peacehealth/safety">https://www.peacehealth.org/about-peacehealth/safety</a>. Last accessed 12/11/2022.

24

25

26

27

28

#### Plaintiff's Disabilities

#### A. Kristin Kittleson's Disability

- 40. Ms. Kittleson has struggled with chronic endometriosis which has caused ovarian cysts, ruptured ovaries resulting in internal bleeding, and organ failure, including a tumor on her colon and bladder issues.
- 41. This disability has required multiple surgeries and resulted in immune compromise and worsening autoimmune conditions.
- 42. Ms. Kittleson has needed accommodation for this disability through time off and slightly altered working conditions, which in the past has always resulted in accommodation without compromising her performance of job functions.

#### B. Amber Schaeffer's Disability

- 43. Ms. Schaeffer has had a long history of severe reactions to vaccines.
- 44. As a child, Ms. Schaeffer suffered hives, a seizure, and an asthma attack. At 20 years old she received an influenza vaccine with subsequent development of several months of migraines and hand numbness, a condition the doctor said was a Guillain-Barre like syndrome.
- 45. She had requested exemption and accommodation from all vaccination in 2020 when she was hired, including the Tdap, influenza, and the Hepatitis B vaccines.
- 46. Due to this history of severe reactions, her doctor advised against the COVID-19 vaccines, worrying that another episode was a high risk.

#### C. Rory Clark's Disability

- 47. Mr. Clark has a history of severe reactions to vaccines and other medications.
- 48. Mr. Clark's first reaction to the tetanus vaccine when he was a child. Then at 18 years of age, he received another tetanus vaccination after receiving treatment for a laceration.

He experienced a severe allergic reaction bordering on, if not crossing the line into an

anaphylactic reaction.

- 49. Mr. Clark also has a history of febrile seizures that do not respond to normal medications.
- 50. In 2019, Mr. Clark experienced a severe respiratory reaction to the flu shot that lasted over 5 months. His doctor was unable to determine exactly what the respiratory issue was, but determined that it was a reaction to the flu shot.
- 51. Mr. Clark was accommodated, based on his disability, in October 2020, after the flu shot was made mandatory. His doctor referred him to an allergy clinic and the doctors at the allergy clinic agreed that the risk of a severe reaction was high and that he should not take another flu vaccine. PeaceHealth accommodated his disability by requiring him to wear a surgical mask while out of the Security Office.

# The Process Used by PeaceHealth Upon Enacting the COVID-19 Vaccine Mandate.

- 52. PeaceHealth has long had a requirement for the flu vaccine but has allowed those with a medical or religious accommodation to opt out with a simple declination.
- 53. The accommodation required the unvaccinated employee to wear a surgical mask except while eating in the break room and the withholding of a name tag sticker which indicated an individual had received the flu vaccine.
- 54. In 2020, the process changed and those with medical exemptions to the flu vaccine or other vaccines were required to have a doctor's verification of exemption and were then granted the same accommodation.
- 55. With the implementation of the COVID Vaccination policy, PeaceHealth implemented a new procedure for claiming a medical exemption. An individual with a medical disability that would not allow them to receive the vaccine was required to have a medical

exemptions. 16

- 63. On August 3, 2021, PeaceHealth stated that those with approved exemptions would "be subject to regular COVID-19 testing, as well as additional masking, potential reassignment to non-patient care settings, and other safety protocols."<sup>17</sup>
- 64. On August 3, 2021, PeaceHealth officials asserted that "formal ethical discernment" led them to "join[] many health systems across the country, and professional organizations including the Catholic Health Association, the American Hospital Association, the American Medical Association, the American Nurses Association, and others in supporting vaccine requirements to ensure public health." <sup>18</sup>
- 65. On information and belief and sometime after this announcement, PeaceHealth decided to allow religious exemptions as well.
- 66. However, contrary to the original announcement, medical exemptions were declined, including routine or common exemptions that PeaceHealth had accommodated prior to the emergence of COVID-19, based on whether the doctor's submission fit into acceptable contraindications derived from a predetermined list.
- 67. If a medical exemption was denied, the employee was considered non-compliant and could be disciplined for not receiving the vaccine.
- 68. A series of warnings was given for those who were non-compliant with the vaccine mandate, and were eventually terminated.
- 69. On information and belief, PeaceHealth granted zero medical or religious accommodations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> PeaceHealth Requires COVID-19 Vaccination.

*Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Id*.

70. Most employees seeking medical and religious accommodations had to use Paid Time Off ("PTO") until it was exhausted or go unpaid the whole time they were forced to be on leave.

#### The Effect of PeaceHealth's process on Plaintiffs

- A. Ms. Kittleson's experience with the accommodation process.
- 71. Ms. Kittleson had worked throughout the Pandemic safely.
- 72. She willingly and successfully used all mitigation measures necessary to keep herself, her patients, and community safe.
- 73. PeaceHealth required that she receive a medical examination to determine if her disability warranted a medical exemption.
- 74. She complied with this requirement and visited her doctor to fill out an exemption form. She submitted a medical exemption and accommodation request signed by her doctor on August 12, 2021, to her manager, who then directed her to send it to Employee Health as directed by her manager.
- 75. On August 19, 2021, PeaceHealth denied Ms. Kittleson's request for accommodation stating that her medical documentation did not support the medical contraindication, regardless of the fact that her doctor advised against receiving the vaccine due to risk of autoimmune complications.
- 76. PeaceHealth, through Ms. Kittleson's manager, asked for more medical documentation than the signed form she had supplied supporting her accommodation request the additional documentation requested proof of her disability, rather than proof of her ability to perform her job functions.
- 77. Ms. Kittleson attempted to appeal PeaceHealth's denial of her accommodation request in October 2021, by submitting more documentation.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 11

- 78. However, during the pendency of this appeal, PeaceHealth denied her access to her email, despite being an employee, making it impossible for her to follow up on the status of her appeal or accommodation.
- 79. Despite these difficulties, Ms. Kittleson continued to communicate with her manager and asked him to text her the appeal information. He recommended that her provider give a more detailed response. Other than that, the only information he was able to give her was the original form. No other procedure or information was provided.
- 80. In fact, Ms. Kittleson was not allowed any kind of interactive process to deal with the denial of accommodation.
- 81. Rather than accommodate Ms. Kittleson's disability, PeaceHealth put her on medical leave beginning August 24, 2021.
- 82. Washington State ESD approved paid medical leave for Ms. Kittleson through October 16, 2021.
- 83. However, PeaceHealth approved her paid medical leave only until October 4, 2021.
- 84. PeaceHealth agreed to extend Ms. Kittleson personal leave from October 4, 2021, until December 1, 2021.
- 85. This leave was unprotected, which meant her job would be advertised as available to other candidates and she would not be reinstated at the end of her leave but would have to reapply for a position within PeaceHealth.
- 86. Ms. Kittleson did not learn that her job would be unavailable to return to until January 19, 2022, in a letter from Traci Stacy.
- 87. The letter from Traci Stacy required that she obtain a position in PeaceHealth by October 4, 2022, or be "voluntarily" terminated.

28

27

28

- 101. At this meeting, she was told she had to be vaccinated. No discussion of possible accommodation was offered, such as masking, distancing, reassignment, and/or testing.
- 102. Rather, PeaceHealth simply decided sua sponte through some unknown individual or individuals that her disability was insufficient to support a medical exemption in contradiction to her own doctor's advice.
- 103. After her surgeries, Ms. Kittleson planned to become vaccinated on her doctor's advice. However, due to the surgeries failing to relieve the original issue as well as other post-surgical complications, she began receiving hormone therapy, increasing her risk of clotting and stroke, and thus adding another contraindication for vaccination.
- 104. On June 25, 2022, Ms. Kittleson applied in writing for her position that she had held for many years and was still vacant. This application was rejected.
- 105. On October 4, 2022, PeaceHealth officially terminated Ms. Kittleson's employment.

#### B. Amber Schaeffer's experience with the accommodation process.

- 106. Ms. Schaeffer began working during the pandemic and was able to do so safely.
- Ms. Schaeffer's job as a painter requires her to work in rooms only when no patients are present due to the fumes of the paint.
- 108. Additionally, when Ms. Schaeffer is working in common areas she has no direct contact with anyone and is able to and was required to remain a minimum of six feet away from all others.
- 109. She was willing to wear a SCBA bubble mask, take CDC approved COVID tests, wash her hands, and socially distance.
- 110. On August 30, 2021, after visiting her doctor, Ms. Schaeffer applied for a medical accommodation to the vaccine mandate.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 14

AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 15

5238 Outlet Dr. Pasco, WA 99301

Randall, who is part of the PeaceHealth system, to fill out an exemption form at the appointment
he made, his doctor responded that he did not qualify for an exemption as he did not meet either
criteria for an exemption, which included anaphylactic shock to the first dose of the vaccine, or
Guillain Barre Syndrome from a previous vaccine.

- 129. On information and belief, the PeaceHealth doctors were being instructed not to fill out these forms except in the case of Guillain Barre or anaphylactic shock after a dose of the vaccine and that if they did write exemptions, they risked losing their jobs.
  - 130. Mr. Clark asked Dr. Randall to send the form to Employee Health.
  - 131. Mr. Clark was unable to verify that Dr. Randall ever sent the form.
- 132. On August 17, 2021, Mr. Clark submitted his medical request with all supporting documentation to Jeff DeSpain in Employee Health.
- 133. Mr. DeSpain offered to email the information to the appropriate people, which upon Mr. Clark's request, he did.
- 134. Within a couple of days following this submission, PeaceHealth denied Mr. Clark's medical exemption, in effect deciding, without personal knowledge of his medical condition that he did not have the disability he claimed to have.
- 135. Mr. Clark was not allowed any kind of interactive process to deal with the denial of accommodation.
- 136. On August 30, 2021, after doing more research, Mr. Clark chose to submit a written religious objection and request for accommodation to PeaceHealth's Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination Policy.
  - 137. PeaceHealth denied his reasonable accommodation.
- 138. PeaceHealth accepted that his religious belief against getting the vaccine was sincere.

161.	This anonymous opinion was not based on any examination or detailed
understanding	g of each of their medical histories or conditions.

- PeaceHealth used an improper standard of 'having a contraindication' to arrive at this decision rather than the standard set by the ADA of having a disability that prevented them
- PeaceHealth thereby disregarded its duties under the ADA to accommodate

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF **ADA** -- Failure to Accommodate (all Plaintiffs)

- Plaintiffs reallege all paragraphs above and below as if fully set forth herein.
- PeaceHealth is subject to the ADA, being an employer as defined by 29 CFR §
- Plaintiffs are all qualified for their jobs, worked previously in the positions, with
- Plaintiffs have disabilities causing a higher risk for adverse events due to the vaccine. Their conditions are chronic. These conditions substantially affect life activities because they require them to consider the effect of pharmaceutical products on the function of their immune system, reproductive system, and/or nervous system. All Plaintiffs discussed the vaccine with their doctors before determining whether to take them.
- PeaceHealth forced Plaintiffs out of their positions based on their disabilities even
- Plaintiffs submitted doctor's notes indicating the reason accommodation was needed for each Plaintiff based on their knowledge, examination and treatment of each Plaintiff.
  - Plaintiffs were not infected with COVID-19 or otherwise ill when they were

28

required to go on unpaid leave. They were not a direct threat.

- 171. PeaceHealth subjected Plaintiffs to an adverse employment action by forcing them onto unpaid leave for many months at a time, renewing such leave repeatedly.
- 172. PeaceHealth failed to make a good faith effort to accommodate Plaintiffs' disabilities.
- 173. Such accommodations would not have been an undue hardship to PeaceHealth as it would not require significant difficulty or expense and would have allowed Plaintiffs to continue working with PPE, regular testing, and other countermeasures to protect against the spread of COVID-19, as was done for over a year and a half before the imposition of the COVID-19 vaccine mandate.
- 174. Instead of finding a reasonable accommodation or set of accommodations for Plaintiffs, PeaceHealth engaged in a series of adverse employment actions including requiring Plaintiffs to go on unpaid leave, not allowing them to return to their previous positions, and ultimately terminating their employment.
- 175. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid complained of conduct and violation of the ADA, each respective Plaintiff sustained pecuniary and non-economic injuries in an amount that exceeds \$75,000.00, including lost wages, benefits, retirement funds, the denial of promotional opportunity, humiliation, embarrassment, unnecessary pain and suffering, attorneys' fees, and costs associated with this action.
- 176. Plaintiffs have filed charges with the EEOC complaining of these discriminatory actions.

#### VII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF ADA – VILATION OF § 12203(b) (all Plaintiffs)

177. Plaintiffs reallege all paragraphs above and below as if fully se forth herein.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 21

1	178.	Plaintiffs were engaged in ADA protected activity when they applied for	
2	accommodat	tion for their disabilities.	
3	179.	Under the ADA, PeaceHealth had an obligation to treat their medical records as	
4	confidential	and Plaintiffs had a right to have their medical records treated as confidential.	
5 6	180.	Contrary to Plaintiffs right to privacy, PeaceHealth told Mr. Clark that Employee	
7	Health was not reviewing the disability accommodation requests but had hired an anonymous		
8	third party to do so.		
9	181.	PeaceHealth did not ask for consent or have Plaintiffs complete a HIPAA	
10	authorization	n request to have the third party review the requests.	
11	182.	This release of medical information has damaged all Plaintiffs.	
12			
13		VIII. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
14		ADA—PROHIBITED INQUIRIES (Kristine Kittleson)	
15	183.	Plaintiffs reallege all paragraphs above and below as if fully set forth herein.	
16	184.	All inquiries PeaceHealth or its employees made in pursuance of the vaccination	
17 18	mandate were prohibited inquiries.		
19	185.	Ms. Kittleson was forced to appeal PeaceHealth's denial of her exemption.	
20	186.	In so doing, PeaceHealth, through her manager, stated that her doctor needed to	
21	write more t	han one sentence on the form.	
22	187.	Her manager then resent the form without further information.	
23	188.	Ms. Kittleson was damaged by these and other prohibited inquiries.	
24		IX. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
25		ADA - Disparate Treatment	
26		(all Plaintiffs)	
27	189.	Plaintiffs reallege all paragraphs above and below as if fully set forth herein.	
28			

1			
1	210.	Plaintiffs were damaged by PeaceHealth's discrimination against them based on	
2	the fact that they were wrongfully regarded as disabled in this way.		
3		XII. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>	
4	211.	Plaintiffs demand a jury.	
5		XIII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF	
6	212		
7	212.	WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray:	
8	213.	A judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendant on all claims in an	
9	amount to be determined at trial but exceeding \$5,000,000.00.		
10	214.	A finding that Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiffs on the basis of	
11	Plaintiffs' disabilities.		
12	215.	A finding that Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiffs because Defendant	
13			
14	_		
15	216.	A finding that Defendant did not adhere to its obligations under the ADA to	
16	accommodat	e their disabilities.	
17	217.	A finding that Defendant retaliated against Plaintiffs based on the exercise of their	
18	rights under the ADA.		
19	218.	A declaration from this Court that PeaceHealth has exceeded its authority.	
20	219.	A declaration from this Court that the Plaintiffs are entitled to their wages and	
21	benefits.		
22	220.	A preliminary and permanent injunction from being prevented from working for	
23			
24	PeaceHealth	based on vaccination status.	
25	221.	Attorney fees and exemplary damages as authorized by the ADA, other statute,	
26	contract or recognized ground of equity, as applicable.		
27	222.	That the Plaintiffs be granted such other, further, and different relief as the nature	
28	I		

of the case may require or as may be just, equitable, and proper to the Court. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 17th day of January 2024. SILENT MAJORITY FOUNDATION /s/Karen Louise Osborne Karen Louise Osborne, WSBA No. 51433 5238 Outlet Dr. Pasco, WA 99301 (530) 906-9666 karen@silentmajorityfoundation.org Counsel for Plaintiffs 

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-cv-05011-TMC - 26

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on this 17th day of January 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the United States District Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all parties who are registered with the CM/ECF system. DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of January 2024. /s/Karen Louise Osborne
Karen Louise Osborne AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR Silent Majority Foundation

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE AND DECLATORY RELIEF 3:24-ev-05011-TMC - 27